

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,469	03/31/2004	William Hatcher	07-328-JB	6384	
31718 BELASCO IA	7590 04/23/200 COBS & TOWNSLEY		EXAM	IINER	
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			UTAMA, ROBERT J		
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST 14th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90045		3715		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patmail@bjtlaw.com donna@bjtlaw.com

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/815,469
 HATCHER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ROBERT J. UTAMA
 3715

interview Summary							
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ROBERT J. UTAMA	3715					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Utama, Robert</u> .	(3)Townsley, Allen						
(2) Mosser, Kathleen.	(4) <u>Belasco, David</u> .						
Date of Interview: <u>03/17/2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Eckenwiller, Sack-Saver and Yamamoto</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argues that the Eckenwiller reference is directed more of the packing of manufacture material and not the packing of groceries item. The examiner argues that the current claim limitation do not limit the teaching of packing to only to be groceries item. No agreement with respect to the patentability of the claim limitation has been reached during the interview. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims							
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Kahleen Mosser/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715							